

# Goals of the Luau Type System

Lily Brown   Andy Friesen   Alan Jeffrey



*Human Aspects of Types and Reasoning Assistants 2021*

## Creator Goals

A platform for creating shared immersive 3D experiences:

- ▶ **Many:** 20 million experiences, 8 million creators.
- ▶ **At scale:** e.g. *Adopt Me!* has 10 billion plays.
- ▶ **Young:** 200+ kids' coding camps in 65+ countries.
- ▶ **Professional:** 345k creators monetizing experiences.

A very heterogenous community.

All developers are important:

- ▶ **Learners:** energetic creative community.
- ▶ **Professionals:** high-quality experiences.
- ▶ **Everyone inbetween:** some learners become professionals!

Satisfying everyone is sometimes challenging.

Demo time!

# Learners have immediate goals

E.g. “when a player steps on the button, advance the slide”.

- ▶ Most goals can be met by the 3D environment editor.
- ▶ Some need programming, e.g. reacting to collisions or timers.
- ▶ Very different onboarding than “let’s learn to program”.
- ▶ “Google Stack Overflow” is a common workflow.
- ▶ Type-driven tools (e.g. autocomplete or API help) are useful.
- ▶ Some type errors (e.g. catching typos) are useful, but many are not.

Type systems should help or get out of the way.

# Professionals have long-term goals

E.g. “decrease user churn” or “improve frame rate”.

- ▶ Code planning
- ▶ Code refactoring
- ▶ Defect detection

Type-driven development is a useful technique!

# Luau Type System



# Infallible types

*Goal:* support type-driven tools (e.g. autocomplete) for all programs.

- ▶ Traditional typing judgment:  $\Gamma \vdash M : T$
- ▶ Infallible judgment:  $\Gamma \vdash M \Rightarrow N : T$ , where  $N$  may flag type errors
- ▶ For every  $M$  and  $\Gamma$ , there are  $N$  and  $T$  such that  $\Gamma \vdash M \Rightarrow N : T$ .

*Related work:*

- ▶ Type error reporting, program repair.
- ▶ Typed holes (e.g. in Hazel).

# Strict types

*Goal:* no false negatives.

- ▶ *Strict mode* enabled by developers who want defect detection.
- ▶ *Business as usual* soundness via progress + preservation.
- ▶ *Gradual types* for programs with flagged type errors.

*Related work:*

- ▶ Lots and lots for type safety.
- ▶ Gradual typing, blame analysis, migratory types...

# Nonstrict types

*Goal:* no false positives.

- ▶ *Nonstrict mode* enabled by developers who want type-drive tools.
- ▶ Not even obvious how to state the goals!
- ▶ A shot at it: a program is *incorrectly flagged* if it contains a flagged value (i.e. a flagged program has successfully terminated).
- ▶ Is progress + correct flagging what we want?

*Related work:*

- ▶ Success types (e.g. Erlang Dialyzer).
- ▶ Incorrectness Logic.

# Mixing types

Goal: *support mixed strict/nonstrict development.*

- ▶ Strict/nonstrict mode is enabled per-module.
- ▶ What happens when a codebase is mixed?
- ▶ Combine progress + preservation with progress + correct flagging?

*Related work:*

- ▶ Not much?

# Type inference

Goal: *provide benefits of type-directed tools to everyone.*

- ▶ Infer types for all variables, don't just give them type any.
- ▶ Luau includes System F, so everything is undecidable. Yay heuristics!
- ▶ Currently, strict and nonstrict mode infer different types. Boo!

*Related work:*

- ▶ Lots.

**Thank you!**

**Roblox is hiring!**